

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/005,429	SEWALT ET AL
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Stuart F. Baum	1638

All Participants:

(1) Stuart F. Baum.

Status of Application: pending

(3) _____.

(2) David M. Saravitz.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 6 April 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

rejections of record

Claims discussed:

claims of record

Prior art documents discussed:

prior art of record

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner requested sequence alignments to substantiate the assertions in the response of March 25, 2005 that the prior art did not teach the claimed sequence similarities. The amendment of March 25, 2005 over came all other rejections of record. If persuasive, the additional requested evidence would result in allowance.